

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/294,659 04/19/1999 CHANG-SOO PARK 6375 678-259(P873 01/29/2004 **EXAMINER** PAUL J FARRELL ESQ LIU, SHUWANG **DILWORTH & BARRESE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD UNIONDALE, NY 11553 2634 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	Summary	09/294,659		PARK ET AL.	
Office Action Summ		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Shuwang L		2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date oi - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended peric - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 fithis communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply aximum statutory period wi d for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	66(a). In no event within the statuto ill apply and will e cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the stion to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>12 No</u>	ovember 200	<u>13</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	is/are withdraw d. <u>nd 21</u> is/are rejecte /are objected to.	vn from cons			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO			Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on November 12, 2003, for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/294,659 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 12-16 and 26-28 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8. A complete reply to the final rejection (paper # 12) must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 17 and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/294,659

Art Unit: 2634

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 2, 9-11, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nystrom et al. (US 6,189,123).

As shown in figures 5 and 6, Nystrom et al. discloses a receiver for communication system, comprising:

- (1) regarding claims 1 and 17:
- a message information receiver (116) for receiving information about a message to be received;
 - a transmission channel receiver (figure 6) for receiving the message;
- a controller (116) for determining an iterative decoding number according to the received message information received from the message information receiver (column 13, lines 4-16); and
- a decoder (112) for iteratively decoding the received message received from the transmission channel according to the determined iterative decoding number (column 11, lines 17-25, column 12, lines 30-36 and column 13, lines 4-16).
 - (2) regarding claims 2 and 18:

Application/Control Number: 09/294,659

Art Unit: 2634

wherein the message information includes a class of received data (number of bit errors) (column 13, lines 4-16).

(3) regarding claims 9-11:

wherein the decoder is a soft-decision decoder (150) which is a MAP or SOVA (column2, lines 63-67 and column 13, lines 11-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystrom et al. in view of Hagenauer et al. (US 6,377,610).

Nystrom et al. et al. discloses all of the subject matter except for specifically teaching of the class including a bit error rate (BER) as recited in claims.

Hagenauer et al., in the same field of endeavor, teaches the relation between BER and iterative decoding number as shown in figure 11. The iterative decoding number increases (from 1 to 5) if the BER is less than a predetermined number.

It would be desirable to enable an existing signal format to be decoded more efficiently and with likelihood of bit errors than is possible with known methods so that by using the controller to determine the relation between BER and iterative decoding

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/294,659

Art Unit: 2634

number. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to using the BER as the class of the receiving data as taught by Hagenauer et al. in the decoder process of Nystrom et al. in order to allow the receiver having more efficiently decoding.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-8, 19 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

5 Lussing Tim

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2634

January 23, 2004